



DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

Office of Healthcare Inspections

VETERANS HEALTH ADMINISTRATION

Comprehensive Healthcare
Inspection of the VA San
Diego Healthcare System
in California



MISSION

The mission of the Office of Inspector General is to serve veterans and the public by conducting meaningful independent oversight of the Department of Veterans Affairs.

In addition to general privacy laws that govern release of medical information, disclosure of certain veteran health or other private information may be prohibited by various federal statutes including, but not limited to, 38 U.S.C. §§ 5701, 5705, and 7332, absent an exemption or other specified circumstances. As mandated by law, the OIG adheres to privacy and confidentiality laws and regulations protecting veteran health or other private information in this report.

FOR MORE
VA OIG REPORTS
CLICK HERE



**Report suspected wrongdoing in VA programs and operations
to the VA OIG Hotline:**

www.va.gov/oig/hotline

1-800-488-8244



Figure 1. Jennifer Moreno VA Medical Center of the VA San Diego Healthcare System in California.

Source: <https://www.va.gov/san-diego-health-care/locations/> (accessed April 5, 2023).

Abbreviations

ADPCS	Associate Director for Patient Care Services
CHIP	Comprehensive Healthcare Inspection Program
FY	fiscal year
LIP	licensed independent practitioner
OIG	Office of Inspector General
VHA	Veterans Health Administration
VISN	Veterans Integrated Service Network



Report Overview

This Office of Inspector General (OIG) Comprehensive Healthcare Inspection Program (CHIP) report provides a focused evaluation of the quality of care delivered in the inpatient and outpatient settings of the VA San Diego Healthcare System, which includes the main medical center and multiple outpatient clinics in Southern California. The inspection covers key clinical and administrative processes that are associated with promoting quality care.

Comprehensive healthcare inspections are one element of the OIG's overall efforts to ensure the nation's veterans receive high-quality and timely VA healthcare services. The OIG inspects each facility approximately every three years and selects and evaluates specific areas of focus each year. At the time of this inspection, the OIG focused on core processes in the following five areas of clinical and administrative operations:

1. Leadership and organizational risks
2. Quality, safety, and value
3. Medical staff privileging
4. Environment of care
5. Mental health (focusing on emergency department and urgent care center suicide prevention initiatives)

The OIG conducted an unannounced inspection of the VA San Diego Healthcare System during the week of March 14, 2022. The OIG held interviews and reviewed clinical and administrative processes related to specific areas of focus that affect patient outcomes. Although the OIG reviewed a broad spectrum of processes, the sheer complexity of VA medical facilities limits inspectors' ability to assess all areas of clinical risk. The finding presented in this report gives a snapshot of the healthcare system's performance within the identified focus area at the time of the OIG inspection. Although it is difficult to quantify the risk of patient harm, the finding may help leaders at this healthcare system and other Veterans Health Administration facilities identify vulnerable areas or conditions that, if properly addressed, could improve patient safety and healthcare quality.

Inspection Results

The OIG noted an opportunity for improvement and issued one recommendation to the Director in the Leadership and Organizational Risks review area. The result is detailed in the report section and summarized in appendix A on page 19.

Conclusion

The OIG issued one recommendation for improvement to the Director. The recommendation should not be used as a gauge for the overall quality of care provided at this system. The intent is for this leader to use the recommendation as a road map to help improve operations and clinical care moving forward. The recommendation is based on a retrospective finding of deficiency in adherence to Veterans Health Administration national policy and requires an action plan that can effectively address a systems issue that may have contributed to the deficiency or interfered with the delivery of quality health care.

VA Comments

The Veterans Integrated Service Network Director and System Director agreed with the comprehensive healthcare inspection finding and recommendation and provided an acceptable improvement plan (see appendixes C and D, pages 22–23, and the response within the body of the report for the full text of the directors’ comments). The OIG will follow up on the planned actions for the open recommendation until they are completed.



JOHN D. DAIGH, JR., M.D.
Assistant Inspector General
for Healthcare Inspections

Contents

Abbreviations	ii
Report Overview	iii
Inspection Results	iii
Purpose and Scope	1
Methodology	2
Results and Recommendations	3
Leadership and Organizational Risks.....	3
Recommendation 1.....	9
Quality, Safety, and Value	10
Medical Staff Privileging	12
Environment of Care	14
Mental Health: Emergency Department and Urgent Care Center Suicide Prevention Initiatives.....	16
Report Conclusion.....	18
Appendix A: Comprehensive Healthcare Inspection Program Recommendations	19
Appendix B: Healthcare System Profile	20
Appendix C: VISN Director Comments	22
Appendix D: Healthcare System Director Comments	23
OIG Contact and Staff Acknowledgments	24

Report Distribution25



Purpose and Scope

The purpose of the Office of Inspector General (OIG) Comprehensive Healthcare Inspection Program (CHIP) is to conduct routine oversight of VA medical facilities that provide healthcare services to veterans. This report's evaluation of the quality of care delivered in the inpatient and outpatient settings of the VA San Diego Healthcare System examines a broad range of key clinical and administrative processes associated with positive patient outcomes. The OIG reports its findings to Veterans Integrated Service Network (VISN) and healthcare system leaders so they can make informed decisions to improve care.¹

Effective leaders manage organizational risks by establishing goals, strategies, and priorities to improve care; setting expectations for quality care delivery; and promoting a culture to sustain positive change.² Effective leadership has been cited as “among the most critical components that lead an organization to effective and successful outcomes.”³

To examine risks to patients and the organization, the OIG focused on core processes in the following five areas of clinical and administrative operations:⁴

1. Leadership and organizational risks
2. Quality, safety, and value
3. Medical staff privileging
4. Environment of care
5. Mental health (focusing on emergency department and urgent care center suicide prevention initiatives)

¹ VA administers healthcare services through a nationwide network of 18 regional systems referred to as Veterans Integrated Service Networks.

² Anam Parand et al., “The Role of Hospital Managers in Quality and Patient Safety: A Systematic Review,” *British Medical Journal* 4, no. 9 (September 5, 2014), <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005055>.

³ Danae F. Sfantou et al., “Importance of Leadership Style Towards Quality of Care Measures in Healthcare Settings: A Systematic Review,” *Healthcare (Basel)* 5, no. 4 (October 14, 2017): 73, <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare5040073>.

⁴ CHIP site visits addressed these processes during fiscal year 2022 (October 1, 2021, through September 30, 2022); they may differ from prior years' focus areas.

Methodology

The VA San Diego Healthcare System includes the main medical center and associated outpatient clinics in Southern California. General information about the healthcare system can be found in appendix B.

The inspection team examined operations from February 26, 2018, through March 18, 2022, the last day of the unannounced multiday evaluation.⁵ During the site visit, the OIG did not receive any complaints beyond the scope of this inspection that required referral to the OIG hotline.

Oversight authority to review the programs and operations of VA medical facilities is authorized by the Inspector General Act of 1978.⁶ The OIG reviews available evidence within a specified scope and methodology and makes recommendations to VA leaders, if warranted. Findings and recommendations do not define a standard of care or establish legal liability.

This report's recommendation for improvement addresses a problem that can influence the quality of patient care significantly enough to warrant OIG follow-up until healthcare system leaders complete corrective actions. The Director's response to the report recommendation appears within the Leadership and Organizational Risks topic area. The OIG accepted the action plan that system leaders developed based on the reasons for noncompliance.

The OIG conducted the inspection in accordance with OIG procedures and *Quality Standards for Inspection and Evaluation* published by the Council of the Inspectors General on Integrity and Efficiency.

⁵ The OIG's last comprehensive healthcare inspection of the VA San Diego Healthcare System occurred in February 2018. The Joint Commission performed hospital, behavioral health care, and home care accreditation reviews in November 2019.

⁶ Inspector General (IG) Act of 1978, as amended, 5 U.S.C. §§ 401–424.

Results and Recommendations

Leadership and Organizational Risks

Healthcare leaders must focus their efforts to achieve results for the populations they serve.⁷ High-impact leaders should be person-centered and transparent, engage front-line staff members, have a “relentless focus” on their vision and strategy, and “practice systems thinking and collaboration across boundaries.”⁸ When leaders fully engage and inspire employees, create psychological safety, develop trust, and apply organizational values to all decisions, they lay the foundation for a culture and system focused on clinical and patient safety.⁹

To assess this healthcare system’s leadership and risks, the OIG considered several indicators:

1. Executive leadership position stability and engagement
2. Budget and operations
3. Employee satisfaction
4. Patient experience
5. Identified factors related to possible lapses in care and healthcare system leaders’ responses

Executive Leadership Position Stability and Engagement

Each VA facility organizes its leadership structure to address the needs and expectations of the local veteran population it serves. The healthcare system had a leadership team consisting of the Director, Associate Director, Assistant Director, Associate Director for Patient Care Services (ADPCS), and Chief of Staff. The Chief of Staff and ADPCS oversaw patient care, which included managing service directors and program chiefs.

At the time of the OIG inspection, the executive team had worked together for approximately five months, with the appointment of the acting Assistant Director in October 2021. The other executive leaders had worked together for nearly five years since the Chief of Staff’s appointment in April 2017. The Director had served in the role since 2016. To help assess the executive leaders’ engagement, the OIG interviewed the Director, Chief of Staff, ADPCS, and Associate Director regarding their knowledge, involvement, and support of actions to improve or sustain performance.

⁷ Stephen Swensen et al., *High-Impact Leadership: Improve Care, Improve the Health of Populations, and Reduce Costs*, Institute for Healthcare Improvement White Paper, 2013.

⁸ Swensen, *High-Impact Leadership: Improve Care, Improve the Health of Populations, and Reduce Costs*.

⁹ Allan Frankel et al., *A Framework for Safe, Reliable, and Effective Care*, Institute for Healthcare Improvement White Paper, 2017.

Budget and Operations

The OIG noted that the healthcare system’s fiscal year (FY) 2021 annual medical care budget of \$989,047,492 had increased by 9.7 percent compared to the previous year’s budget of \$901,225,906.¹⁰ The Director reported the healthcare system’s Resource Management Council tracked the budget and evaluated requests for new employees to ensure new positions were affordable.

The Chief of Staff discussed challenges in recruiting pharmacy, radiology, and podiatry technologists and implementing special salary rates to be more competitive in the local area. The ADPCS stated the healthcare system is also experiencing shortages of inpatient nurses and established a post-baccalaureate program for nurses as a retention incentive. The ADPCS also discussed hiring temporary providers to improve patients’ access to care. All executive leaders, including the Director, expressed concerns that the human resource modernization process had increased challenges in filling vacancies, including slow posting of job announcements, delays in making job offers, lack of timeliness in onboarding new staff, and issues with communication.

Employee Satisfaction

The All Employee Survey is an “annual, voluntary, census survey of VA workforce experiences. The data are anonymous and confidential.”¹¹ The instrument has been refined several times in response to VA leaders’ inquiries on VA culture and organizational health.¹² Although the OIG recognizes that employee satisfaction survey data are subjective, they can be a starting point for discussions, indicate areas for further inquiry, and be considered along with other information on healthcare system leaders.

The OIG reviewed results from VA’s All Employee Survey from FYs 2019 through 2021 regarding employees’ perceived ability to disclose a suspected violation without fear of reprisal (see figure 2).¹³

¹⁰ Veterans Health Administration (VHA) Support Service Center.

¹¹ “AES Survey History, Understanding Workplace Experiences in VA,” VHA Support Service Center.

¹² “AES Survey History, Understanding Workplace Experiences in VA,” VHA Support Service Center.

¹³ The OIG makes no comment on the adequacy of the VHA average. The VHA average is used for comparison purposes only. The OIG suspended presentation of individual leaders’ All Employee Survey scores due to potential staffing updates (e.g., newly or recently established positions and historical position vacancies) and variation in survey mapping across fiscal years (process of assigning members to workgroups for reporting purposes).

Ability to Disclose a Suspected Violation




Figure 2. All Employee Survey Results: I can disclose a suspected violation of any law, rule, or regulation without fear of reprisal.

Source: VA All Employee Survey (accessed February 14, 2022).

Note: Respondents scored this survey item from 1 (Strongly disagree) through 6 (Do not know).

Patient Experience

Veterans Health Administration (VHA) uses industry standard surveys from the Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems program to evaluate patients’ experiences with their health care and benchmark performance against the private sector. VHA’s Patient Experiences Survey Reports provide results from the Survey of Healthcare Experiences of Patients program.¹⁴

VHA also collects Survey of Healthcare Experiences of Patients data from Inpatient, Patient-Centered Medical Home (primary care), and Specialty Care surveys.¹⁵ The OIG reviewed responses to three relevant survey questions that reflect patient experiences with the healthcare system from FYs 2018 through 2021. Figures 3–5 provide survey results for VHA and the healthcare system over time.¹⁶

¹⁴ “Patient Experiences Survey Results,” VHA Support Service Center.

¹⁵ “Patient Experiences Survey Results,” VHA Support Service Center.

¹⁶ Scores are based on responses by patients who received care at this healthcare system.

Inpatient Recommendation




Figure 3. Survey of Healthcare Experiences of Patients Results (Inpatient): Would you recommend this hospital to your friends and family?

Source: VHA Office of Quality and Patient Safety, Analytics and Performance Integration, Performance Measurement (accessed December 21, 2021).

Note: The score is the percent of “Definitely yes” responses.

Outpatient Patient-Centered Medical Home Satisfaction




Figure 4. Survey of Healthcare Experiences of Patients Results (Outpatient Patient-Centered Medical Home): Overall, how satisfied are you with the health care you have received at your VA facility during the last 6 months?

Source: VHA Office of Quality and Patient Safety, Analytics and Performance Integration, Performance Measurement (accessed December 21, 2021).

Note: The score is the percent of “Very satisfied” and “Satisfied” responses.

Outpatient Specialty Care Satisfaction




Figure 5. Survey of Healthcare Experiences of Patients Results (Outpatient Specialty Care): Overall, how satisfied are you with the health care you have received at your VA facility during the last 6 months? Source: VHA Office of Quality and Patient Safety, Analytics and Performance Integration, Performance Measurement (accessed December 21, 2021).

Note: The score is the percent of “Very satisfied” and “Satisfied” responses.

Identified Factors Related to Possible Lapses in Care and Healthcare System Leaders’ Responses

Leaders must ensure patients receive high-quality health care that is safe, effective, timely, and patient-centered because any preventable harm episode is one too many.¹⁷ A sentinel event is an incident or condition that “results in death, permanent harm, or severe temporary harm and [when] intervention [is] required to sustain life.”¹⁸ Additionally, an institutional disclosure is “a formal process by which VA medical facility leader(s), together with clinicians and others as appropriate, inform the patient or the patient’s personal representative that an adverse event has occurred during the patient’s care that resulted in, or is reasonably expected to result in, death or serious injury, and provide specific information about the patient’s rights and recourse.”¹⁹ Lastly, a large-scale disclosure is “a formal process by which VHA officials assist with coordinating the notification to multiple patients, or their personal representatives, that they may have been affected by an adverse event resulting from a systems issue.”²⁰ To this end, VHA implemented

¹⁷ Frankel, *A Framework for Safe, Reliable, and Effective Care*; “Quality and Patient Safety (QPS),” Department of Veterans Affairs, accessed October 13, 2021, <https://www.va.gov/QUALITYANDPATIENTSAFETY/>.

¹⁸ VHA Directive 1190, *Peer Review for Quality Management*, November 21, 2018.

¹⁹ VHA Directive 1004.08, *Disclosure of Adverse Events to Patients*, October 31, 2018.

²⁰ VHA Directive 1004.08.

standardized processes to guide leaders in measuring, assessing, and reacting to possible lapses in care to improve patient safety.

The provision of safe, quality care is the responsibility of facility leaders. According to The Joint Commission's standards for leadership, a culture of safety and continual process improvements lead to safe, quality care for patients.²¹ A VA medical facility's culture of safety and learning enables leaders to identify and correct systems issues. If leaders do not respond when adverse events occur, they may miss opportunities to learn and improve from those events as well as lose trust from patients and staff.²²

The Director spoke knowledgeably about the adverse event reporting process, which included discussions during daily management huddles. The Director described creating a culture of psychological safety where staff feel safe reporting adverse patient safety events to executive leaders and the OIG. The Director also discussed staff conducting root cause analyses for serious events with the expectation the events will be disclosed to patients.²³ The Director reported collaborating with the Chief of Staff, Risk Manager, and Patient Safety Manager to decide the best course of action for institutional disclosures. Additionally, the Director explained that the Chief of Staff determined the appropriateness of institutional disclosures and mentioned erring on the side of caution and ensuring staff appropriately inform patients of serious adverse events and properly document the disclosures. Although the Director spoke knowledgeably about the adverse reporting process, the OIG noted concerns with leaders conducting institutional disclosures.

Leadership and Organizational Risks Findings and Recommendations

VHA requires leaders to conduct an institutional disclosure of an adverse event that “resulted in or is reasonably expected to result in death or serious injury...including, for example sentinel events as defined by The Joint Commission.”²⁴ The OIG requested adverse patient safety events that occurred from February 26, 2018 (the prior OIG CHIP site visit), through March 13, 2022, and reviewed events reported by healthcare system staff. The OIG found that leaders did not consistently conduct institutional disclosures for sentinel events that may have contributed to patients' deaths. Failure to perform an institutional disclosure can reduce patients' trust in the organization. Quality Management staff reported several reasons for not conducting institutional

²¹ The Joint Commission, *Standards Manual*, E-dition, July 1, 2022.

²² Jim Conway et al., *Respectful Management of Serious Clinical Adverse Events (2nd ed.)*, Institute for Healthcare Improvement White Paper, 2011.

²³ A root cause analysis is a focused review to identify the actual system- and process-related contributing factors of the event. VHA Handbook 1050.01, *VHA National Patient Safety Improvement Handbook*, March 4, 2011. (This handbook was replaced by VHA Directive 1050.01, *VHA Quality and Patient Safety Programs*, March 24, 2023.)

²⁴ VHA Directive 1004.08.

disclosures, including believing that disclosing the event was at the discretion of the executive leaders.

Recommendation 1

1. The Director determines the reasons for noncompliance and ensures leaders conduct institutional disclosures for applicable sentinel events.

Healthcare system concurred.

Target date for completion: October 16, 2023

Healthcare system response: In consultation with Quality Management staff, the Director reviewed the recommendation and identified ways to improve the institutional disclosure process. Quality Management staff have updated the process for recognizing and sharing sentinel events with the Director, the Chief of Staff, and other appropriate VA San Diego Healthcare System Leadership upon discovery. The Quality Management staff document the indication for institutional disclosure in a secure database. In addition, Quality Management staff meet monthly to review all significant adverse events and ensure all components of the process have taken place. Quality Management staff will monitor and report compliance with the completion of applicable institutional disclosures to the Quality Council. Monitoring will continue until 90 percent compliance for 6 consecutive months is achieved.

Quality, Safety, and Value

VHA strives to provide healthcare services that compare “favorably to the best of [the] private sector in measured outcomes, value, access, and patient experience.”²⁵ To meet this goal, VHA requires that staff at its facilities implement programs to monitor the quality of patient care and performance improvement activities and maintain Joint Commission accreditation.²⁶ Many quality-related activities are informed and required by VHA directives and nationally recognized accreditation standards (such as those from The Joint Commission).²⁷

To determine whether VHA facility staff have implemented OIG-identified key processes for quality and safety and incorporated them into local activities, the inspection team evaluated the healthcare system’s committee responsible for oversight of healthcare operations and its ability to review data and ensure key executive leadership functions are discussed and integrated on a regular basis.

Next, the OIG assessed the healthcare system’s processes for conducting peer reviews of clinical care.²⁸ Peer reviews, “when conducted systematically and credibly,” reveal areas for improvement (involving one or more providers’ practices) and can result in both immediate and “long-term improvements in patient care.”²⁹ Peer reviews are “intended to promote confidential and non-punitive” processes that consistently contribute to quality management efforts at the individual provider level.³⁰

Finally, the OIG assessed the healthcare system’s culture of safety.³¹ VA implemented the National Center for Patient Safety program in 1999, which involved staff from across VHA developing a range of patient safety methodologies and practices.

The OIG reviewers interviewed managers and key employees and evaluated meeting minutes, peer reviews, patient safety reports, and other relevant information.

²⁵ Department of Veterans Affairs, *Veterans Health Administration Blueprint for Excellence*, September 21, 2014.

²⁶ VHA Directive 1100.16, *Accreditation of Medical Facility and Ambulatory Programs*, May 9, 2017. (VHA rescinded and replaced this directive with VHA Directive 1100.16, *Health Care Accreditation of VHA Facilities and Programs*, July 19, 2022.)

²⁷ VHA Directive 1100.16.

²⁸ A peer review is a “critical review of care, performed by a peer,” to evaluate care provided by a clinician for a specific episode of care, identify learning opportunities for improvement, provide confidential communication of the results back to the clinician, and identify potential system or process improvements. VHA Directive 1190.

²⁹ VHA Directive 1190.

³⁰ VHA Directive 1190.

³¹ A culture of safety is “the product of individual and group values, attitudes, perceptions, competencies, and patterns of behavior that determine the commitment to, and the style and proficiency of, an organization’s health and safety management.” “Hospital Survey on Patient Safety Culture: User’s Guide,” Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, July 2018, accessed October 3, 2022, <https://www.ahrq.gov/sites/default/files/wysiwyg/professionals/quality-patient-safety/patientsafetyculture/hospital/userguide/hospcult.pdf>.

Quality, Safety, and Value Findings and Recommendations

The OIG made no recommendations.

Medical Staff Privileging

VHA has defined procedures for the clinical privileging of “all health care professionals who are permitted by law and the facility to practice independently.”³² These healthcare professionals are known as licensed independent practitioners (LIPs) and provide care “without supervision or direction, within the scope of the individual’s license, and in accordance with individually-granted clinical privileges.”³³

Privileges need to be specific and based on the individual practitioner’s clinical competence. Privileges are requested by the LIP and reviewed by the responsible service chief, who then makes a recommendation to approve, deny, or amend the request. An executive committee of the medical staff evaluates the LIP’s credentials and service chief’s recommendation to determine whether “clinical competence is adequately demonstrated to support the granting of the requested privileges,” and submits the final recommendation to the facility director.³⁴ LIPs are granted clinical privileges for a limited time and must be repriviledged prior to their expiration.³⁵

VHA defines the Focused Professional Practice Evaluation as “a time-limited period during which the medical staff leadership evaluates and determines the practitioner’s professional performance.”³⁶ The Focused Professional Practice Evaluation process occurs when a practitioner is hired at the facility and granted initial or additional privileges.³⁷ Facility leaders must also monitor the LIP’s performance by regularly conducting an Ongoing Professional Practice Evaluation to ensure the continuous delivery of quality care.³⁸

VHA’s credentialing process involves the assessment and verification of healthcare practitioners’ qualifications to provide care and is the first step in ensuring patient safety.³⁹ Historically, many VHA facilities had portions of their credentialing processes aligned under different leaders, which led to inconsistent program oversight, position descriptions, and reporting structures. VHA implemented credentialing and privileging modernization efforts to increase standardization and now requires all credentialing and privileging functions to be merged into one office and aligned under the Chief of Staff. VHA also requires facilities to have credentialing

³² VHA Handbook 1100.19, *Credentialing and Privileging*, October 15, 2012. (VHA rescinded and replaced this handbook with VHA Directive 1100.21(1), *Privileging*, March 2, 2023, amended April 26, 2023. VHA previously replaced the credentialing portion of this handbook with VHA Directive 1100.20, *Credentialing of Health Care Providers*, September 15, 2021.)

³³ VHA Handbook 1100.19.

³⁴ VHA Handbook 1100.19.

³⁵ VHA Handbook 1100.19.

³⁶ VHA Handbook 1100.19.

³⁷ VHA Handbook 1100.19.

³⁸ VHA Handbook 1100.19.

³⁹ VHA Directive 1100.20.

and privileging managers and specialists with job duties that align under standard position descriptions.⁴⁰

The OIG interviewed key managers and selected and reviewed Focused and Ongoing Professional Practice Evaluations of LIPs.

Medical Staff Privileging Findings and Recommendations

The OIG made no recommendations.

⁴⁰ Assistant Under Secretary for Health for Operations/Chief Human Capital Management memo, “Credentialing and Privileging Staffing Modernization Efforts—Required Modernization Actions and Implementation of Approved Positions Fiscal Year 2020,” December 16, 2020.

Environment of Care

Any facility, regardless of its size or location, faces vulnerabilities in the healthcare environment. VHA requires staff to conduct environment of care inspections and track issues until they are resolved. The goal of the environment of care program is to reduce and control environmental hazards and risks; prevent accidents and injuries; and maintain safe conditions for patients, visitors, and staff.⁴¹ The physical environment of a healthcare organization must not only be functional but should also promote healing.

The purpose of this inspection was to determine whether staff at VA medical facilities maintained a clean and safe healthcare environment in accordance with applicable standards. The OIG assessed compliance in selected areas that are often associated with higher risks of harm to patients. These areas may include inpatient mental health units, where patients with active suicidal ideations or attempts are treated, and community living centers, where vulnerable populations reside in a home-like environment and receive assistance in achieving their highest level of function and well-being.⁴²

An estimated 75,673 of 100,306 drug overdose deaths that occurred in the United States from April 2020 to April 2021 were opioid related. This was an increase from 56,064 in the previous 12 months.⁴³ VA implemented the Rapid Naloxone Initiative to reduce the risk of opioid-related deaths. This initiative involves stocking the reversal agent naloxone in Automated External Defibrillator cabinets in nontraditional patient care areas to enable fast response times during emergencies and contribute to a safe healthcare environment.⁴⁴

During the OIG's review of the environment of care, the inspection team examined relevant documents, interviewed managers and staff, and inspected the following patient care areas:

- Ambulatory surgery unit

⁴¹ VHA Directive 1608, *Comprehensive Environment of Care (CEOC) Program*, February 1, 2016. (VHA rescinded and replaced this directive with VHA Directive 1608, *Comprehensive Environment of Care Program*, June 21, 2021.)

⁴² Community living centers were previously known as nursing home care units. VHA Handbook 1142.01, *Criteria and Standards for VA Community Living Centers (CLC)*, August 13, 2008.

⁴³ Centers for Disease Control and Prevention – National Center for Health Statistics, “Drug Overdose Deaths in the U.S. Top 100,000 Annually,” accessed March 22, 2022, https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/pressroom/nchs_press_releases/2021/20211117.htm.

⁴⁴ Opioids are medications that are “effective at reducing pain” but “when taken in excess, can lead to respiratory arrest.” Naloxone is a highly effective treatment for reversing an opioid overdose. VHA, “Automated External Defibrillator (AED) Cabinet Naloxone Program: Implementation Toolkit.” AEDs are devices used to treat sudden cardiac arrest. Food and Drug Administration, “Automated External Defibrillators (AEDs),” accessed December 16, 2021, <https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/cardiovascular-devices/automated-external-defibrillators-aeds>. “Pharmacy Benefits Management Services,” Department of Veterans Affairs, accessed October 6, 2021, https://www.pbm.va.gov/PBM/academicdetailingservice/Opioid_Overdose_Education_and_Naloxone_Distribution.asp.

- Community living center (4 South)
- Direct observational unit
- Emergency Department
- Intensive care unit (medical/surgical)
- Medical/surgical inpatient units (3 North and 5 East)
- Mental health inpatient unit
- Post-anesthesia care unit
- Primary care clinic
- Specialty clinic
- Spinal cord injury inpatient unit

Environment of Care Findings and Recommendations

The OIG made no recommendations.

Mental Health: Emergency Department and Urgent Care Center Suicide Prevention Initiatives

Suicide prevention remains the top clinical priority for VA. In 2019, the suicide rate for veterans was higher than for nonveterans and estimated to represent “13.7 [percent] of suicides among U.S. adults.”⁴⁵ Additionally, “among the average 17.2 Veteran suicides per day, an estimated 6.8 suicides per day were among those with VHA encounters in 2018 or 2019, whereas 10.4 per day were among Veterans with no VHA encounter in 2018 or 2019.”⁴⁶

VHA implemented various evidence-based approaches to reduce veteran suicides, including a two-phase process to screen and assess for suicide risk in clinical settings. The phases include the Columbia-Suicide Severity Rating Scale Screener and subsequent completion of the Comprehensive Suicide Risk Evaluation when the screen is positive.⁴⁷ The OIG examined whether staff completed the Comprehensive Suicide Risk Evaluation for veterans who were seen in emergency departments or urgent care centers and determined to be at risk for suicide.

Additionally, VHA requires intermediate, high-acute, or chronic risk-for-suicide patients to have a suicide safety plan completed or updated prior to discharge from emergency departments or urgent care centers and receive “structured post-discharge follow-up to facilitate engagement in outpatient mental health care.”⁴⁸ The OIG assessed the healthcare system for its adherence to staff completion of suicide safety plans prior to patients’ discharge from the Emergency Department or urgent care center and follow-up within seven days of discharge.

To determine whether staff complied with selected requirements for suicide risk evaluation, the OIG interviewed managers and reviewed the electronic health records of 45 randomly selected patients who were seen in the Emergency Department or urgent care center from December 31, 2020, through August 1, 2021.

⁴⁵ Office of Mental Health and Suicide Prevention, *2021 National Veteran Suicide Prevention Annual Report*, September 2021.

⁴⁶ Office of Mental Health and Suicide Prevention, *2021 National Veteran Suicide Prevention Annual Report*.

⁴⁷ Assistant Under Secretary for Health for Clinical Services/Chief Medical Officer memo, “Eliminating Veteran Suicide: Suicide Risk Screening and Evaluation Requirements and Implementation (Risk ID Strategy),” November 13, 2020. (This memo was superseded by the Assistant Under Secretary for Health for Clinical Services/Chief Medical Officer memo, “Eliminating Veteran Suicide: Suicide Risk Screening and Evaluation Requirements and Implementation Update (Risk ID Strategy),” November 23, 2022.)

⁴⁸ Deputy Under Secretary for Health for Operations and Management memo, “Eliminating Veteran Suicide: Implementation Update on Suicide Risk Screening and Evaluation (Risk ID Strategy) and the Safety Planning for Emergency Department (SPED) Initiatives,” October 17, 2019. (This memo was superseded by Assistant Under Secretary for Health for Clinical Services/Chief Medical Officer memo, “Update to Safety Planning in the Emergency Department (ED): Suicide Safety Planning and Follow-up Interventions,” October 1, 2021.)

Mental Health Findings and Recommendations

The OIG made no recommendations.

Report Conclusion

The OIG acknowledges the inherent challenges of operating VA medical facilities, especially during times of unprecedented stress on the US healthcare system. To assist leaders in evaluating the quality of care at their healthcare system, the OIG conducted a detailed review of five clinical and administrative areas and provided one recommendation on a systemic issue that may adversely affect patients. The recommendation does not reflect the overall caliber of services delivered within this healthcare system. However, the OIG's finding illuminates an area of concern, and the recommendation may help guide improvement efforts. The recommendation is presented in appendix A.

Appendix A: Comprehensive Healthcare Inspection Program Recommendations

The table below outlines one OIG recommendation aimed at reducing a vulnerability that may lead to patient safety issues or adverse events. The recommendation is attributable to the Director. The intent is for this leader to use the recommendation as a road map to help improve operations and clinical care. The recommendation addresses a systems issue that, if left unattended, may potentially interfere with the delivery of quality health care.

Table A.1. Summary Table of Recommendations

Healthcare Processes	Recommendations for Improvement
Leadership and Organizational Risks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Leaders conduct institutional disclosures for applicable sentinel events.
Quality, Safety, and Value	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None
Medical Staff Privileging	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None
Environment of Care	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None
Mental Health: Emergency Department and Urgent Care Center Suicide Prevention Initiatives	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None

Appendix B: Healthcare System Profile

The table below provides general background information for this high complexity (1a) affiliated healthcare system reporting to VISN 22.¹

**Table B.1. Profile for VA San Diego Healthcare System (664)
(October 1, 2018, through September 30, 2021)**

Profile Element	Healthcare System Data FY 2019*	Healthcare System Data FY 2020†	Healthcare System Data FY 2021‡
Total medical care budget	\$830,397,992	\$901,225,906	\$989,047,492
Number of:			
• Unique patients	86,320	84,572	91,310
• Outpatient visits	993,457	890,986	1,059,990
• Unique employees§	3,241	3,395	3,474
Type and number of operating beds:			
• Community living center	39	39	39
• Domiciliary	69	69	69
• Medicine	52	54	54
• Mental health	38	40	40
• Spinal cord	30	30	26
• Surgery	40	40	40
Average daily census:			
• Community living center	25	19	17
• Domiciliary	44	32	23
• Medicine	57	56	67
• Mental health	34	30	28
• Spinal cord	19	22	18

¹ VHA medical facilities are classified according to a complexity model; a designation of “1a” indicates a facility with “high volume, high risk patients, most complex clinical programs, and large research and teaching programs.” “Facility Complexity Model Fact Sheet,” VHA Office of Productivity, Efficiency & Staffing (OPES). An affiliated healthcare system is associated with a medical residency program. VHA Directive 1400.03, *Educational Relationships*, February 23, 2022.

Profile Element	Healthcare System Data FY 2019*	Healthcare System Data FY 2020†	Healthcare System Data FY 2021‡
Average daily census (cont.):			
• Surgery	16	12	12

Source: VHA Support Service Center and VA Corporate Data Warehouse.

Note: The OIG did not assess VA's data for accuracy or completeness.

*October 1, 2018, through September 30, 2019.

†October 1, 2019, through September 30, 2020.

‡October 1, 2020, through September 30, 2021.

§Unique employees involved in direct medical care (cost center 8200).

Appendix C: VISN Director Comments

Department of Veterans Affairs Memorandum

Date: April 19, 2023

From: Director, VA Desert Pacific Healthcare Network (10N22)

Subj: Comprehensive Healthcare Inspection of the VA San Diego Healthcare System in California

To: Director, Office of Healthcare Inspections (54CH02)
Director, GAO/OIG Accountability Liaison (VHA 10B GOAL Action)

1. Thank you for the opportunity to review and comment on the Office of Inspector General (OIG) Draft Report, Comprehensive Healthcare Inspection of the VA San Diego Healthcare System in California.
2. Based on the thorough review of the report by VISN 22 Leadership, I concur with the recommendation and submitted action plan of the VA San Diego Healthcare System.
3. If you have additional questions or need further information, please contact the VISN 22 Quality Management Officer.

(Original signed by:)

Michael W. Fisher
VISN 22 Network Director

Appendix D: Healthcare System Director Comments

Department of Veterans Affairs Memorandum

Date: April 17, 2023

From: Director, VA San Diego Healthcare System (664/00)

Subj: Comprehensive Healthcare Inspection of the VA San Diego Healthcare System in California

To: Director, VA Desert Pacific Healthcare Network (10N22)

1. We appreciate the opportunity to review the draft report of the Comprehensive Healthcare Inspection of the VA San Diego Healthcare System in California.
2. I have reviewed the recommendation and concur with the response and action provided by our team here at the VA San Diego Healthcare System to ensure we continue to deliver excellent care to our Veterans.

(Original signed by:)

Frank Pearson, DPT, PA-C
Director, VA San Diego Healthcare System

OIG Contact and Staff Acknowledgments

Contact	For more information about this report, please contact the Office of Inspector General at (202) 461-4720.
----------------	---

Inspection Team	Frank Keslof, MHA, EMT, Team Leader Rose Griggs, MSW, LCSW Miquita Hill-McCree, MSN, RN Sheeba Keneth, MSN/CNL, RN Georgene Rea, MSW, LCSW Emorfia Valkanos, RPh
------------------------	---

Other Contributors	Melinda Alegria, AuD, CCC-A Limin Clegg, PhD Kaitlyn Delgadillo, BSPH Jennifer Frisch, MSN, RN Reynelda Garoutte, MHA, BSN Justin Hanlon, BAS LaFonda Henry, MSN, RN Cynthia Hickel, MSN, CRNA Amy McCarthy, JD Scott McGrath, BS Joan Redding, MA Larry Ross, Jr., MS Caitlin Sweany-Mendez, MPH Michael Tadych, MSW, FACHE Erika Terrazas, MS Elizabeth Whidden, MS, APRN Jarvis Yu, MS
---------------------------	---

Report Distribution

VA Distribution

Office of the Secretary
Veterans Benefits Administration
Veterans Health Administration
National Cemetery Administration
Assistant Secretaries
Office of General Counsel
Office of Acquisition, Logistics, and Construction
Board of Veterans' Appeals
Director, VISN 22: VA Desert Pacific Healthcare Network (10N22)
Director, VA San Diego Healthcare System (664/00)

Non-VA Distribution

House Committee on Veterans' Affairs
House Appropriations Subcommittee on Military Construction, Veterans Affairs, and Related Agencies
House Committee on Oversight and Accountability
Senate Committee on Veterans' Affairs
Senate Appropriations Subcommittee on Military Construction, Veterans Affairs, and Related Agencies
Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs
National Veterans Service Organizations
Government Accountability Office
Office of Management and Budget
US Senate: Dianne Feinstein, Alex Padilla
US House of Representatives: Darrell Issa, Sara Jacobs, Mike Levin, Scott Peters, Raul Ruiz, Juan Vargas

OIG reports are available at www.va.gov/oig.